

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,558	09/27/2001	Gary Brian Merrill	01P17802US	3870	
75	90 12/13/2002				
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			EXAMINER		
			FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A		
Iselin, NJ 08830					
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	<i>(</i> '	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/965,558	MERRILL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAN INC DATE OF	Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ### ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 5.133)
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> on of Claims	nce except for formal Ex parte Quayle, 1935	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		4 the Everiner
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)∐ T			disapproved by the Examiner.
,—	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	· ·	disapproved by the Examiner.
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S (8 110(a) (d) or (f)
	All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 5.5.0	. 9 (19(a)-(u) of (t).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		
	application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	
14)∏ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a)	The translation of the foreign language proving the translation of the foreign language proving the translation of the translat	isional application has	been received.
Attachment(s			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4) Intervie 5) Notice of 6) Other:	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.	0.4.045	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/965,558

Art Unit: 1731

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 5, line 18, the first occurrence of the word "a" should be changed to ** an **.

On page 6, line 29 "fillvoid" should be changed to ** fill void **.

On page 13, line 22, the word "insulting" should be changed to ** insulating **.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 13, the word "poring" is misspelled.

In claim 1, line 16, the phrase "forcing the slurry" is indefinite in that the claim previously refers to a "slurry or a binder medium". Thus, it is not clear as to whether the slurry option is required. Thus, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be readily determined.

In the dependent claims, the phrase "method of according to claim" appears to be grammatically incorrect. The word "of" could be deleted from these phrases to make them grammatically correct.

In claim 3, line 2, the phrase "the first permeable restraining structure" has no antecedent basis.

Claim 3 is indefinite in that it recites "the extracting member and the second permeable member defines the first void" which contradicts the phrase in claim 1 which recites "defining a first void between the first and second permeable structures". Thus, the metes and bounds of the claims cannot be readily determined.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,558

Art Unit: 1731

In claim 6, the phrase "the chamber" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 6, the phrase "drying in the insulating material" is grammatically incorrect.

In claim 8, the phrase "the ceramic material" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 11, the phrase "the ceramic material" has no antecedent basis.

Claim 12 is indefinite in that the preamble recites "formed into geometric shapes" but the body of the claim does not refer to "geometric shapes" in general but rather specifically recites spheres.

In claim 13, the phrase "the extraction membrane" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 13, the phrase "the liquid" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 15, line 2, it appears as though the word "and" should be changed to ** an **.

In claim 19, the phrase "the chamber" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 19, the phrase "drying in the ceramic material" has no antecedent basis.

A claim is indefinite when it specifies "predetermined" temperatures etc. when "predetermined" according to applicants' definition merely means determined beforehand. See *Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc. V. Marzall, Comr. Pats.*, 84 USPQ 180 (CA DC 1950). Claim 20 is indefinite for this reason.

In claim 24, the phrase "the permeable restraining structure" has no antecedent basis.

3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a process which utilizes a sinterable binder (e.g. page 10, line 22) and fires the shaped material (e.g. p.4, line 7), does not reasonably provide enablement for the process as generically claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/965,558

Art Unit: 1731

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a process which utilizes a fibrous material (12) to define a side of the void, or sphere cavity (e.g. page 12, line 14), does not reasonably provide enablement for the process as generically claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a process which removes the insulating material from the mold in which it is formed (e.g. page 13, line 23), does not reasonably provide enablement for the process as generically claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,558

Art Unit: 1731

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1731